

**CHAOTIC AND RHEOLOGICAL PROPERTIES
OF LIQUIDS UNDER PLANAR SHEAR AND
ELONGATIONAL FLOWS**

Federico Frascoli

*Dissertation submitted
in fulfilment of requirements for the degree of
Doctor of Philosophy*

**Centre for Molecular Simulation
Swinburne University of Technology**

2007

Abstract

The aim of this work is to present relevant rheological and chaotic properties of atomic liquid systems under steady planar shear (PSF) and elongational (PEF) flows, with the use of Nonequilibrium Molecular Dynamics (NEMD) techniques. These flows are widely employed in industrial applications, and, at a fundamental level, represent interesting models for the discussion of theoretical assumptions of statistical mechanics and dynamical systems theory. Whereas the use of the SLLOD algorithm of NEMD for PSF has been established for more than twenty years, the simulation of PEF for arbitrarily long times has recently been made possible through the combination of the SLLOD formulation with the so-called Kraynik-Reinelt (KR) periodic boundary conditions (pbcs) for the unit cell.

Firstly, aspects regarding the chaotic behaviour of these types of flow are discussed, with an analysis of the spectra of Lyapunov exponents for systems of different sizes and at a number of different state points, under Gaussian isoenergetic and isokinetic constrained dynamics. The so-called conjugate-pairing rule (CPR), which, loosely speaking, pertains to systems with a homogeneous distribution of chaoticity among internal degrees of freedom, is established for PEF, whereas robust evidence for its violation under PSF is provided. Considerations about the link between Lyapunov exponents and viscosity, structural ordering at high values of external fields and phase space contractions are also put forward.

Secondly, a novel algorithm for the implementation of the Nosé-Hoover mechanism for pressure conservation for PEF systems is illustrated and used in conjunction with a Gaussian isokinetic thermostat to achieve a so-called isokinetic-isobaric (or NpT) ensemble. Accurate results for the viscosity of a simple liquid in this ensemble are obtained, and a comparison with analogous findings for the isokinetic-isochoric (NVT) regime is provided.

Furthermore, the analysis of the chaotic properties for PSF and PEF systems is extended to the case of NpT constrained dynamics with the use of the above method, showing that the degrees of freedom associated with the Nosé-Hoover (NH) barostat have no influence on chaoticity, regardless of whether the underlying algorithm describing the flow is symplectic.

Abstract

Then, a study that shows the independence of physical properties of homogeneous systems under steady PEF from KR pbcs is carried out. Using CPR and inspecting the Lyapunov exponents associated with the conserved degrees of freedom, the dynamics of phase-space trajectories and the values of transport coefficients are proven to be insensitive to any choice of initial parameters of periodic mappings on the unit cell.

Finally, some concluding remarks and suggestions for future work complete the Thesis.

Acknowledgements

Firstly, let me thank my two excellent supervisors, Prof. B. D. Todd and Prof. D. J. Searles for their ideas, vision, help, support and humanity. They are indeed extraordinary persons whom I really enjoyed working with. By means of their advice and suggestions, I feel I truly grew up as a physicist and as a human being.

My praise also goes to Prof. R. J. Sadus, who proved to be a great Centre Director and a person endowed with unparalleled gentleness.

Deciding to live fifteen thousand kilometres away from Modena, a city of rare beauty and extremely frank and good-humoured dwellers, is painful. Thanks for all the emotions you still hide around every single corner of your streets each time I visit you.

I am indebted to my ‘extended’ family for their support and constant care, which were never missing.

Huge thanks also go to my friends in Melbourne, who have looked after me and eased my unpredictable Italian temper. I would like especially to remember Tom, Jesper, Signe, Dave and Samil. I am lucky enough to have good friends in Italy that have contributed to preserve my equilibrium and to keep my spirit high: Roberto, Sederani, Marietto, Matteo and dr. Vellani.

Sara stands in a completely different class of her own and this work is dedicated to her. It would have never been written had she not been in my life.

Declaration

I hereby declare that the Thesis entitled “Chaotic and rheological properties of liquids under planar shear and elongational flows”, and submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the Faculty of Information and Communication Technologies of Swinburne University of Technology, is my own work and that it contains no material which has been accepted for the award to the candidate of any other degree or diploma, except where due reference is made in the text of the Thesis. To the best of my knowledge and belief, it contains no material previously published or written by another person except where due reference is made in the text of the Thesis.

Federico Frascoli
December 2007.

Publications from this Thesis

Frascoli, F., Searles, D.J. and Todd, B.D. (2006), “*A comparison of the chaotic properties of planar elongational flow and planar shear flow for systems in a steady state: Lyapunov exponents, conjugate-pairing rule and phase-space contraction*”, Phys. Rev. E **73**, 046206.

Frascoli, F. and Todd, B.D. (2007), “*Molecular simulation of planar elongational flow at constant pressure and constant temperature*”, J. Chem. Phys. **126**, 044506.

Frascoli, F., Todd, B.D. and Searles, D.J (2007), “*Boundary condition independence of molecular dynamics simulations of planar elongational flow*”, Phys. Rev. E **75**, 066702.

Frascoli, F., Searles, D.J. and Todd, B.D. (2007), “*Chaotic properties of isokinetic-isobaric systems under planar shear and elongational flows*”, submitted to Physical Review E.

Table of Contents

Abstract.....	i
Acknowledgements.....	iii
Declaration.....	iv
Publications from this Thesis.....	v
Table of Contents.....	vi
List of Figures.....	x
List of Tables.....	xvi
Notation.....	xviii
Chapter 1. Introduction to Nonequilibrium Molecular Dynamics.....	1
1.1 Chapter overview.....	1
1.2 Nonequilibrium molecular dynamics at a glance.....	3
1.2.1 Foundations of nonequilibrium thermodynamics and its microscopic connections..	3
1.2.2 Equations of motion for generalized flows and their theoretical justification.....	7
1.2.3 Interatomic Weeks-Chandler-Andersen potential and reduced units.....	10
1.2.4 Periodic boundary conditions: equilibrium case.....	12
1.2.5 Gaussian and Nosé-Hoover constrained thermodynamics.....	14
1.2.6 Integration schemes.....	19
1.3 The simulation of planar shear and planar elongational flows.....	21
1.3.1 SLLOD algorithm for adiabatic planar shear flow.....	21
1.3.2 Lees-Edwards and Lagrangian-rhomboid periodic boundary conditions for planar shear flow.....	24
1.3.3 SLLOD algorithm for adiabatic planar elongational flow.....	27

Table of Contents

1.3.4 Kraynik-Reinelt periodic boundary conditions and their connection with the Arnold cat map.....	31
1.3.5 Deforming-brick and Lagrangian-rhomboid periodic boundary conditions for planar elongational flow.....	35
1.3.6 Useful expressions for the nonequilibrium properties of planar shear and elongational flows in diverse thermodynamic regimes.....	37
1.3.7 The so-called “string phase” and configurational thermostats.....	42
Chapter 2. Molecular dynamics simulation of planar elongational flow at constant pressure and temperature.....	48
2.1 Relevance of extensional flows	48
2.2 Experimental results on the viscosity of liquids under extensional flows.....	50
2.3 The new-cell algorithm for constant pressure simulations.....	56
Chapter 3. Chaos in nonequilibrium liquid systems.....	68
3.1 Chapter overview.....	68
3.2 The calculation and properties of Lyapunov exponents in N -body systems.....	70
3.3 Examples of chaos in nonequilibrium particle systems	81
3.3.1 The Lorentz gas.....	81
3.3.2 Chaos in N -body liquids, Lyapunov modes and fluctuation theorems.....	86

Chapter 4. Chaotic properties of liquids under planar shear and elongational flows.....	95
4.1 Chapter overview.....	95
4.2 Chaotic properties of isokinetic-isochoric and isoenergetic-isochoric systems under planar shear and elongational flows.....	97
4.2.1 Simulation details.....	97
4.2.2 Lyapunov exponents associated with conserved properties of the system.....	100
4.2.3 Results, the conjugate pairing rule and discussion.....	105
4.2.4 The conjugate pairing rule for a constant thermostat multiplier regime.....	118
4.3 Chaotic properties of isokinetic-isobaric systems under planar shear and elongational flows.....	123
4.3.1 Simulation details.....	125
4.3.2 Results for Lyapunov spectra and the conjugate pairing of Nosé-Hoover degrees of freedom.....	127
4.4 Boundary condition independence of molecular dynamics simulations of planar elongational flow.....	136
4.4.1 Statement of the problem and Section overview.....	136
4.4.2 Details, results of the simulations and proof of independence.....	137
Chapter 5. Conclusions and recommendations.....	150

Table of Contents

Appendix 1. Analytic solutions for the independent Lyapunov exponents of a dilute system under planar elongational flow.....155

Appendix 2. Expressions for the elements of the stability matrix for the isokinetic-isobaric ensemble.....158

Bibliography.....162

List of Figures

- Figure 1.1** Comparison between the Lennard-Jones and the Weeks-Chandler-Andersen potentials in reduced units.
- Figure 1.2** Periodic boundary conditions at equilibrium in the xy plane: r_c is the potential cut-off radius, which must be smaller than half of the boxlength L to avoid self-interaction of particles. Should this happen, a violation of the so-called minimum image distance convention is said to take place. Particles in the primitive cell and their images are in dark and light colours respectively. Only the image lattices closest to the fundamental cell are shown, but the array is actually infinite.
- Figure 1.3** A schematic representation of planar shear flow in the xy plane.
- Figure 1.4** Lees-Edwards pbc's for planar shear flow in the xy plane, with square lattice cells of dimension L . The infinite continuation of the scheme is partially shown with transparent particles.
- Figure 1.5** Lagrangian-Rhomboid pbc's for planar shear flow. $\mathbf{L}_1(t)$ and $\mathbf{L}_2(t)$ are the lattice vectors and $\varphi(t)$ is the angle between them, which varies as time progresses and it is mapped back to its original value (usually) when $\varphi(t) < \pi/4$.
- Figure 1.6** Equivalence between sliding-brick and Lagrangian-rhomboid pbc's for planar shear flow: the former can be recovered from the latter by cutting the triangular regions and pasting them after a translation of a cell dimension L .
- Figure 1.7** A schematic representation of planar elongational flow in the xy plane.
- Figure 1.8** The unit lattice for PEF simulations is initially rotated of an angle θ_0 with respect to the x axis.
- Figure 1.9** The relationship between the maximum elongated cell and the initial array of lattices at $t = 0$: each subset of the deformed cell out of the fundamental square box is mapped back at $t = \tau_p$ according to its position in the surrounding image boxes, via a $\text{mod}(L)$ operation. θ_0 is the initial angle of rotation of the unit cell respect to the x axis, as in Fig. 1.8.

- Figure 1.10** Stacking maximally elongated cells onto one another at $t = \tau_p$ illustrates the spatial and temporal periodicity in the infinite array of simulation boxes exploited in the KR mapping. Only a partial subset of the tessellation is shown.
- Figure 1.11** Deforming-brick or Lagrangian-rhomboid pbc are applied in the rotated (primed) frame, showed on the right, to preserve computational efficiency. After their application, the cell is rotated back to its original (unprimed) position on the left. Transformations are regulated by the usual 2 x 2 antisymmetric rotation matrix $\mathbf{R}_{\theta(t)}$.
- Figure 1.12** Deforming-brick (dashed lines) and Lagrangian-rhomboid (continuous lines) pbc for planar elongational flow in the xy plane, with (rotated) lattice cells of dimension $\mathbf{L}'_1(t)$ and $\mathbf{L}'_2(t)$.
- Figure 1.13** Snapshots of atomic coordinates during the “string phase”, for a system of $N = 2048$ particles, density $\rho = 0.840$ and temperature $T = 1.0$, under shear rates $\dot{\gamma} = 7.0$ and $\dot{\gamma} = 10.0$. The alignment in cylinder-like structures along the direction of the flow is evident. The degree of order and the stability of strings increases with $\dot{\gamma}$, as it is shown by their widths and lengths in the yz and xz planes respectively.
- Figure 2.1** The “M1” muddle: results for steady state elongational viscosity using different techniques are sensitive to the history of the flow and the experimental settings, from (James and Walters, 1994). Details for the experimental settings used by each group can be found in (Petrie, 2006a).
- Figure 2.2** The volume of the tilted lattice at a time t' is given by the area of the xy parallelogram, i.e. $\|\mathbf{L}_1(t')\| \cdot \|\mathbf{L}_2(t')\| \sin(\theta(t') + \varphi(t'))$, multiplied by the length of the z dimension (orthogonal to the page). Multiplying the modulus of each dimension $\mathbf{L}_i(t')$ by the factor λ given by Eq. (2.2) gives the target value for the volume according to Eq. (1.38) and preserves the angles $\theta(t')$ and $\varphi(t')$. The xy plane section is shown.
- Figure 2.3** Step 1 of the *new-cell* rescaling procedure: a “new” cubic cell is created, with the appropriate volume $V_T(t')$ given by Eq. (1.38).
- Figure 2.4** Step 2 of the *new-cell* rescaling procedure: the “new” cubic lattice is rotated in counter clockwise direction by the initial angle θ_0 .

- Figure 2.5** Step 3 of the *new-cell* rescaling procedure: the created lattice is evolved to the same instant t' when the rescaling process takes place. ${}^{new}\mathbf{L}_{T,1}(t')$ and ${}^{new}\mathbf{L}_{T,2}(t')$ are the new tilted vectors, which correspond to a lattice with the proper volume V'_T given by Eq. (1.38). The current angle ${}^{new}\theta(t')$ is the same as it was for the previous unrescaled lattice $\theta(t')$ with volume $V(t')$. In the case of volume contraction, the procedure is the same and the resulting “new” lattice has a volume $V'_T(t') < V(t')$. The application of pbcs after the integration of the equations of motion ensures that if any particle is out of the “new” cell boundaries, it is properly mapped back into it.
- Figure 2.6** Planar elongational viscosities for the isokinetic-isochoric (NVT) and isokinetic-isobaric (NpT) ensembles as functions of the elongation rate $\dot{\epsilon}$.
- Figure 3.1** A schematic depiction of phase space compression, reproduced from (Cohen, 1994). The number of phase points δM does not change during deformation in time of the phase space volume element $\delta\hat{V}(\Gamma(0))$, centred on an initial condition $\Gamma(0)$.
- Figure 3.2** The periodic Lorentz gas with a hexagonal lattice of scatterers. For this arrangement, the case of infinite horizon is shown, meaning that the particle can escape from the array, as $d > 4/\sqrt{3} - 2$. A finite horizon, with an upper bound on time of flight, is instead given by $4/\sqrt{3} - 2 < d < 0$ and for $\sqrt{3} - 2 < d < 0$ scatterers overlap. The periodic cell is also depicted, pointing at the equivalence of the model with a hexagonal Sinai billiard when the horizon is finite. The radius of the scatterers is assumed to be unity.
- Figure 3.3** The continuous dynamics of the Lorentz gas is replaced by a discrete two-dimensional map in the variables θ and ϕ describing the change in the direction of momentum and the position of the particle on the surface of an obstacle during a collision.
- Figure 3.4** Lyapunov spectrum from (Eckmann *et al.*, 2005) for a system of $N = 780$ hard disks in a rectangular box with $L_y/L_x = 0.867$ and density $\rho = N/(L_x L_y) = 0.8$. The index l indicates the number of the exponent and the inset is a magnification of the eigenvalues associated to the Lyapunov modes.

- Figure 3.5** Lyapunov modes from (Eckmann *et al.*, 2005) for the same system in Fig. 3.4. Transverse modes associated to λ_{1549} are shown on the left, whereas irrotational fields belonging to λ_{1535} are on the right.
- Figure 3.6** Probability distribution for the average of the shear stress for 56 isoenergetic WCA disks with density $\rho = 0.8$, shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = 0.5$, and length of averaging time $\tau = 0.1$. States with a positive value of $\langle P_{xy} \rangle$ are responsible for a negative entropy production for a period of time τ , contrary to the second law of thermodynamics. The curve is approximately Gaussian. Reproduced from (Evans *et al.*, 1993).
- Figure 4.1** Spectra of Lyapunov exponents for systems of 8 and 32 particles in equilibrium and in a nonequilibrium steady state, at different shear rates. In Fig. 4.1a, systems of 8 particles under isokinetic constrained dynamics are depicted. The values of exponents are indicated by open symbols, the sums of pair-numbers are indicated by filled triangles. In Fig. 4.1b, 8 particles under isoenergetic dynamics are represented. In Fig. 4.1c, 32 particles under isokinetic dynamics and in Fig. 4.1d, 32 particles under isoenergetic dynamics are shown.
- Figure 4.2** Differences between the values of the exponents in a nonequilibrium steady-state for PSF [$\lambda_i(\text{NEq})$] and the corresponding values at equilibrium [$\lambda_i(\text{Eq})$]. In Fig. 4.2a, systems of 8 particles under isokinetic and isoenergetic dynamics are shown. In Fig. 4.2b, systems of 32 particles are depicted: $\Delta\dot{\gamma}$ indicates the shift between equilibrium and nonequilibrium shear rates, and IK/IE labels the constrained dynamics.
- Figure 4.3** Differences between the sum of maximum and minimum Lyapunov exponents and the sums of scaled pairs for systems of 8 and 32 particles under planar shear flow at $\dot{\gamma} = 1.0$ and 2.0. Systems under isokinetic dynamics are in Figs. 4.3a-4.3b and under isoenergetic dynamics in Figs. 4.3c-4.3d. Plots at the same shear rate have the same scale. The error bars are twice the standard error of the mean of 10 independent runs.
- Figure 4.4** Sums of exponents for 8 and 32 particles in nonequilibrium steady-state conditions under PSF at different shear rates and constrained dynamics. The sums for the smaller system are shifted appropriately to take size-effects into account. Fig. 4.4a shows systems under isokinetic and Fig. 4.4b under isoenergetic dynamics.

- Figure 4.5** Spectra of Lyapunov exponents for systems of 8 and 32 particles in equilibrium and in a nonequilibrium steady state, at different elongational rates. The plots are ordered in the same way as Fig. 4.1.
- Figure 4.6** Differences between the values of the exponents under nonequilibrium and equilibrium conditions, as in Fig. 4.2. $\Delta\dot{\epsilon}$ labels the shift and IK/IE shows the constrained dynamics.
- Figure 4.7** Differences between the sum of maximum and minimum Lyapunov exponents and the sums of scaled pairs for systems of 8 and 32 particles under PEF at $\dot{\epsilon} = 0.5$ and 1.0 at different dynamics, as in Fig. 4.3.
- Figure 4.8** Sums of exponents for 8 and 32 particles in a nonequilibrium steady-state under PEF at different elongation rates and constrained dynamics, as in Fig. 4.4.
- Figure 4.9** Sums of the positive Lyapunov exponents for the systems under consideration: Fig. 4.9a shows isokinetic and Fig. 4.9b isoenergetic constrained dynamics.
- Figure 4.10** Differences between the sums of Lyapunov exponents and $-\alpha$ for systems of 8 and 32 particles under planar shear and elongational flows, with a constant Gaussian thermostat multiplier. The values of the differences are indicated by filled triangles with error bars. PSF systems are in Figs. 4.10a and 4.10c, PEF in Figs. 4.10b and 4.10d. Note the different scale in plot 4.10c. Divergences for PSF are in the same range of the sums of Lyapunov exponents for NVT , plotted in Fig. 4.4.
- Figure 4.11** Sums of Lyapunov exponents for systems of 8 and 32 particles at equilibrium, with $\alpha = 0$ and $\Delta t = 10^{-4}$. The red arrow points at the small drift from zero in the high scaled pair-numbers. The error bars are twice the standard error of the mean of 3 independent runs.
- Figure 4.12** Sums of Lyapunov exponents for a system of 8 particles at equilibrium, with $\alpha = 0$ and $\Delta t = 2.5 \times 10^{-5}$. Note the different scale compared to Fig. 4.11.
- Figure 4.13** Differences between Lyapunov exponents at equilibrium under NpT and NVT dynamics. Trivial exponents and the couple due to the degrees of freedom $(V, \dot{\xi})$ are omitted, and are not considered also in Figs. 4.14-4.15.

- Figure 4.14** Differences between Lyapunov exponents for moderate shear and elongational rates, under NpT and NVT dynamics. The dotted and dashed lines respectively represent the averages of $\Delta\lambda_i$ for PEF and PSF. These lines also correspond to half the shift in the conjugate pairing constant between the two regimes, as indicated in Table 4.4.
- Figure 4.15** Differences between Lyapunov exponents for high shear and elongational rates, under NpT and NVT dynamics. The dotted and dashed lines have the same meaning as in Fig. 4.14.
- Figure 4.16** Values of the first six positive Lyapunov exponents for $N = 512$ and $N = 1058$, according to the initial angle of rotation given by conditions 1-6 in Table 4.6. λ_1 is the maximum exponent, λ_2 is the second highest and so on.
- Figure 4.17** Values of the first six positive Lyapunov exponents for $N = 512$ and $N = 1058$, with the unit cell initially rotated at an angle $\theta_0 = 31.72^\circ$ and subject to different Hencky strains according to conditions 5, 7 and 8 in Table 4.6.
- Figure 4.18** Differences between the first six positive Lyapunov exponents for $N = 512$ and $N = 1058$ for the coupled conditions 1-8 and 3-6 in Table 4.6. For each couple, the unit cell is initially rotated through different angles θ_0 and subject to the same Hencky strain.
- Figure 4.19** The full spectrum of the exponents (filled squares) for the dilute $N = 8$ particles system, subject to a high shear rate $\dot{\gamma} = 10.0$. The trivial couples occur at pairs 1 and 2, the unpaired couple is no. 8: the solid arrow indicates the zero exponent in the last couple. The sums of the Lyapunov pairs (open circles) is almost zero, due to the high shear rate. A practically flawless convergence to CPR (solid line) is shown by the independent couples, as expected in this gas-like regime for shear SLLD.
- Figure 4.20** The full spectrum of the exponents (filled squares) for condition 1 in Table 4.6 for the dilute $N = 8$ particles system, subject to a high elongation rate $\dot{\epsilon} = 5.0$. The trivial couples associated with the conserved quantities in the system occur at pair no. 1 ($\dot{\epsilon} - \langle\alpha\rangle$ and $-\dot{\epsilon}$) and pair no. 15 ($\dot{\epsilon}$ and $-\dot{\epsilon} - \langle\alpha\rangle$). The values of the independent exponents are very close to the trivial exponents (dotted lines), due to the low density of the system. The unpaired couple is no. 8, and one of these exponents is clearly zero (solid arrow). The sums of independent exponents satisfy CPR (solid line).

List of Tables

- Table 2.1** Simulation results for NpT and NVT viscosity and pressure at different elongation rates. Uncertainties are at 2σ where σ is the standard error, and are next to the relevant significant figure. It is evident that the signal-to-noise ratio dramatically decreases as $\dot{\epsilon}$ approaches zero.
- Table 4.1** Summary of results for IK simulations at equilibrium and for PSF and PEF at different rates. λ_{\max} and λ_{\min} are the maximum and minimum Lyapunov exponents, $\sum_{i:\lambda_i>0} \lambda_i$ is the sum of the positive Lyapunov exponents, max dev is the maximum deviation (Eqs. (4.1) and (4.3)) expressed as a percent of the total sum of the computed Lyapunov exponents, η_{NEMD} is the viscosity calculated with NEMD simulations (Eqs.(1.42) and (1.43)), η_L is the viscosity calculated with Eqs. (3.25) and (3.26), η_{CPR} is the viscosity calculated using CPR for the maximum and minimum Lyapunov exponents and D_{KY} is the Kaplan-Yorke dimension from Eq. (3.22). Uncertainties at 2σ , where σ is the standard error, are next to the value of each quantity.
- Table 4.2** Summary of results for IE simulation at equilibrium and for PSF and PEF at different rates as in Table 4.1. Results at $\text{II} = 8.0$ for 8 particles are absent because of the instability of the simulations.
- Table 4.3** Summary of results for NpT simulation for 8 particles at equilibrium and under PSF and PEF, using a target pressure equal to the average pressure arising from equivalent NVT simulations. p_0 is the target pressure, p is the average pressure and V indicates the average volume of the simulation cell. The meaning of the other quantities is the same as those in Tables 4.1-4.2. Where relevant, uncertainties are next to the computed averages, expressed as twice the standard error from 3 independent runs. NVT simulations in the previous Section have been performed at a constant $V = 26.67$.
- Table 4.4** Comparison of values for conjugate pairing and phase space compression factors between different regimes. $\Delta\Lambda$ and $(2N-1)\Delta\alpha$ represent the left and right hand side of Eq. (4.10), respectively. Uncertainties are next to the relevant quantities, expressed as twice the standard error from 3 independent runs.
- Table 4.5** Thermodynamical properties and values of the extra couple of Lyapunov exponents associated with the NH degrees of freedom, under different NpT state points. Label A indicates simulations at the same target pressure of previous NVT results, whereas B, C and D correspond to different state points. All data are collated from 3

independent runs except for set D, where 10 runs have been executed, and uncertainties as twice the standard error are next to the relevant quantities.

- Table 4.6** Initial conditions for the samples in this study: θ_0 is the initial angle of rotation for the unit lattice, ε_p is the Hencky strain and m_i are the coefficients of the Arnold cat map associated with the KR pbc's, according to Subsection 1.3.4.
- Table 4.7** Unpaired Lyapunov exponents for the dilute 8 particle system under an elongation rate $\dot{\varepsilon} = 5.0$. Initial conditions are numbered according to Table 4.6, λ_{up} is the unpaired Lyapunov exponent, $\langle\alpha\rangle$ is the time average of the thermostat multiplier and $-\langle\alpha\rangle/\lambda_{up}$ is the ratio between minus the time average of the thermostat multiplier and the exponent. Uncertainties are expressed as twice the standard error of the mean of 3 independent runs and are next to each relevant quantity.
- Table 4.8** Unpaired Lyapunov exponents for the $N = 8$ and $N = 32$ particle systems, with a density $\rho = 0.3$, a temperature $T = 1.0$ and subject to elongation rates $\dot{\varepsilon} = 0.5$, $\dot{\varepsilon} = 1.0$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} = 2.0$. ‘CPR’ is the average of the sum of the independent Lyapunov pairs and tends to $-\langle\alpha\rangle$ in the thermodynamic limit. The value of the ratio $-\langle\alpha\rangle/\lambda_{up}$ is almost independent of the state point, as a comparison of these results with those of Table 4.7 shows. Also, $-\langle\alpha\rangle/\lambda_{up}$ approaches unity in the thermodynamic limit.
- Table 4.9** Results for the elongational viscosity for $N = 512$ and $N = 1058$ at $\rho = 0.4$ and reduced temperature $T = 1.0$, according to different initial conditions in Table 4.6 and different elongation rates. Viscosity data for the dilute small N system are not reported, but they all agree within statistical uncertainty.

Notation

Abbreviations

CPR	Conjugate pairing rule
dev	Deviation
Eq	Equilibrium
fcc	Face-centred cubic
IE	Isoenergetic-isochoric
IK	Isokinetic-isochoric
KR	Kraynik-Reinelt
LR	Lagrangian-Rhomboid
NEMD	Nonequilibrium molecular dynamics
NEq	Nonequilibrium
NH	Nosé-Hoover
<i>NpT</i>	Isokinetic-isobaric ensemble
<i>NVE</i>	Isoenergetic-isochoric ensemble
<i>NVT</i>	Isokinetic-isochoric ensemble
pbcs	Periodic boundary conditions
PBT	Profile biased thermostat
PEF	Planar elongational flow
PSF	Planar shear flow
PUT	Profile unbiased thermostat
WCA	Weeks-Chandler-Andersen interatomic potential

Latin alphabet

II	Second scalar invariant
C_i, D_i	Coupling constants
D	Diagonal strain rate tensor for extensional flows
D_{KY}	Kaplan-Yorke dimension
D_{MZ}	Machta-Zwanzig diffusion coefficient for the Lorentz gas
D	Diffusion coefficient in the Lorentz gas
D_{11}, D_{22}, D_{33}	Components of the diagonal strain rate tensor for extensional flows
d	Dimensionality of the system or distance between scatterers in the Lorentz gas
E	Constant external field in the driven Lorentz gas
$E(\Gamma), E(\mathbf{r}_i, \mathbf{p}), E$	Total energy of the system
\mathbf{e}_{\max}	Eigenvector of the Cat map matrix associated with the maximum eigenvalue
$F_{ext}(t)$	Generic external force
\mathbf{F}_i	Total force acting on particle i
\mathbf{F}_{ij}	Force acting on particle i due to particle j

$\mathbf{F}_x, \mathbf{F}_y$	Forces acting on plates or box dimensions under shear or elongation
F_e	Constant colour field
$f(\mathbf{\Gamma}, t)$	Phase space density function
$f_T(\mathbf{\Gamma})$	Isokinetic distribution function
$f_c(\mathbf{\Gamma}, \zeta)$	Nosé-Hoover canonical distribution function
$f_{PSF}^{eq}(\mathbf{\Gamma})$	Equilibrium phase space distribution for planar shear flow
$\mathbf{G}(\mathbf{\Gamma}, t)$	Matrix of the equations of motions
H	Hamiltonian of the system
$\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$	Cartesian unit vectors
\mathbf{J}	Symplectic matrix
$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{r}_i, t)$	Local momentum current
$\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{F}_e)$	Thermodynamic flux as a function of the thermodynamic force
\mathbf{J}_Q	Heat flux vector
J_i, X_i	Zeroth (scalar) order component of the thermodynamic flux (or force)
$\mathcal{J}_i, \mathcal{X}_i$	First (vector) order component of the thermodynamic flux (or force)
$\mathcal{J}_i, \mathcal{X}_i$	Second (tensor) order component of the thermodynamic flux or force
\mathbf{K}	Time-independent matrix for conjugate pairing
$K(t), K(\mathbf{\Gamma})$	Kinetic energy
\mathbf{k}	Wavevector in k-space
k_B	Boltzmann's constant
\mathbf{L}_i	Lattice vector of the simulation box
$\mathbf{L}(t)$	System propagator
$L(\mathbf{F}_e)$	Transport coefficient as a function of the thermodynamic force
L_i	Length of dimension i of the simulation box
L_{ij}	Fourth-rank transport tensor
${}^{new}L_{T,ix}(t')$	Elongated and rescaled x component of boxlength i at time t' in the new-cell method
${}^{new}L_{T,ix}^0$	Rescaled x component of boxlength i in the new-cell method
\mathbf{M}	Cat map matrix
M	Sum of all the masses of the particles
δM	Number of ensemble points
m_i	Mass of particle i
m_1, m_2, m_3	Elements of the cat map matrix
N	Number of atoms/particles
N_c	Constrained degrees of freedom
N_f	Actual degrees of freedom
n_x, n_y	Number of nodes associated with Lyapunov modes
$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{r}, t)$	Pressure tensor
$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(\mathbf{k}, t)$	Fourier transform of the Pressure tensor

Notation

P_{xx}, P_{yy}	Diagonal components of the Pressure tensor
P_{xy}	Shear stress
$P_{\delta}(t)$	Sum of peculiar momenta of the particles
P, p	Instantaneous pressure of the system or probability in the fluctuation theorems
P	Probability in the fluctuation theorems
\mathbf{p}_i	Peculiar momentum of particle i
p_0	Isotropic pressure or target pressure
Q	Heat exchanged or damping factor in the constant pressure algorithm
\mathbf{q}_i	Laboratory position of particle i
\mathbf{R}_{δ}	Centre of mass vector
$\mathbf{R}_{\theta(t)}$	Antisymmetric rotation matrix
\mathbf{r}_i	Laboratory or reduced position of particle i
\mathbf{r}_{ij}	Laboratory or reduced distance between particles i and j
r_c	Cutoff distance for the interatomic potential
S	Entropy or entropy rate
s	Degree of freedom coupled to thermal bath in the configurational thermostat
\mathbf{T}	Stability (or Jacobian) matrix
$T, T(t)$	Temperature
$T(\mathbf{r}, t)$	Local temperature
T_C	Configurational temperature
T_0	Target temperature
t	Time or total length of a simulation
Δt	Timestep
$U(\mathbf{r}_{ij}), U$	Potential or internal Energy of the system
V	Volume
$V_T(t')$	Target volume at time t'
$\hat{V}(t)$	Phase space volume
\mathbf{u}_i	Peculiar velocity of particle i
u_i	Local internal energy of particle i
\mathbf{v}_i	Velocity of particle i
$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}_i)$	Streaming velocity of the fluid
$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$	Local velocity
$\mathbf{v}_{PEF}(\mathbf{r}, t)$	Linear streaming velocity for planar elongational flow
$\mathbf{v}_{PSF}(\mathbf{r}, t)$	Linear streaming velocity for planar shear flow
$\nabla \mathbf{v}$	Strain rate or velocity gradient tensor
x, y, z	Cartesian coordinates
$X(t), Y(t), Z(t)$	Components of the centre of mass vector

Greek alphabet

$\alpha, \alpha(\Gamma)$	Gaussian thermostat multiplier, Cartesian index or multiplicative constant
β	Boltzmann factor, Cartesian index or power constant
$\Gamma(t)$	Phase space vector
Γ_0	Initial state of the system
Γ_0, Γ_i	“Mother” system and “daughter” system i in the Hoover-Posch algorithm for Lyapunov exponents
$\delta\Gamma_i$	Infinitesimal displacement vector i
$\delta\Gamma_i^c$	Constrained infinitesimal displacement vector i
$\dot{\gamma}$	Shear rate
δ	Cartesian index
ε	Lennard-Jones energy parameter
$\dot{\varepsilon}$	Elongational rate
ε_P	Hencky strain
ζ	Nosé-Hoover multiplier for isokinetic and configurational thermostats
η	Newtonian viscosity
$\eta_{PEF}, \eta_T(\dot{\varepsilon}), \eta(\dot{\varepsilon})$	Nonequilibrium elongational viscosity
η_{PSF}	Nonequilibrium shear viscosity
η_V	Bulk viscosity
$\Theta(t)$	Heaviside step function
θ_0	Initial angle of rotation of the unit cell with respect to the x axis in planar elongation
$\theta(t)$	Angle between one elongated boxlength and the x axis
${}^{new}\theta(t')$	Angle between one elongated boxlength and the x axis at time t' after rescaling through the-new cell method
κ	Conductivity of the Lorentz gas
$\Lambda(\Gamma)$	Phase space compression factor
λ	Thermal conductivity
$\lambda(t')$	Rescaling factor at time t' for constant pressure simulations
$\lambda_i, \lambda_{i'}$	Conjugate Lyapunov exponents
$\lambda_{\max}, \lambda_{\min}$	Eigenvalues of Arnold cat map matrix or maximum and minimum Lyapunov exponents
λ_{up}	Unpaired Lyapunov exponent
$\Delta\lambda$	Difference between Lyapunov exponents
μ	Power constant
$\mu_i(\tau)$	Invariant measure in the phase space
ξ_{ij}	Lagrangian constraints in the Hoover-Posch algorithm for Lyapunov exponents
$\dot{\xi}$	Piston-like term in the Nosé-Hoover barostatting mechanism
$\rho(\mathbf{r}, t)$	Local density
ρ	Homogeneous density of the sample
σ	Stress tensor

Notation

σ	Density of rate of entropy production, effective atomic diameter in Lennard-Jones units or standard error
τ_i	Time length of trajectory i in the fluctuation theorems
τ_p	Period of the unit cell under elongation
$\phi, \phi(\mathbf{r}_{ij})$	Interatomic effective pairwise potentia
$\Phi(\mathbf{r}), \Phi(\Gamma)$	Potential energy of the system
ϕ_c	Shift constant in the Weeks-Chandler-Andersen potential
$\varphi(t)$	Angle between sheared boxlengths or between elongated boxlength and the x axis
${}^{new}\varphi(t')$	Angle between one elongated boxlength and the x axis at time t' after rescaling through the-new cell method
χ	Conjugate pairing constant
Ω	Dissipation function in fluctuation theorems